



RÉPUBLIQUE ALGÉRIENNE DÉMOCRATIQUE ET POPULAIRE
Ministère de L'enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique
UNIVERSITÉ IBN KHALDOUN TIARET
FACULTÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE L'INFORMATIQUES
Département de Mathématiques



MÉMOIRE de MASTER

Présenter en vue de l'obtention du diplôme de master

Spécialité :

Mathématiques

Option :

«Analyse fonctionnelle et équations différentielles »

Présenté Par :

RAHMOUNI Leila

Sous L'intitulé :

*Monotone iterative method applied to systems
of fractional differential equations*

Soutenu publiquement le 29 / 06 / 2025
à Tiaret devant le jury composé de :

Mr *BENHABI Mohamed*

M.A.A Université Tiaret

Président

Mr *MAHROUZ Tayeb*

M.C.A Université Tiaret

Examineur

Mr *BENDOUMA Bouharket*

M.C.A Université Tiaret

Encadreur

Année universitaire : 2024/2025



RÉPUBLIQUE ALGÉRIENNE DÉMOCRATIQUE ET POPULAIRE
Ministère de L'enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique
UNIVERSITÉ IBN KHALDOUN TIARET
FACULTÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE L'INFORMATIQUES
Département de Mathématiques



MÉMOIRE de MASTER

Présenter en vue de l'obtention du diplôme de master

Spécialité :

Mathématiques

Option :

«Analyse fonctionnelle et équations différentielles »

Présenté Par :

RAHMOUNI Leila

Sous L'intitulé :

*Méthode d'itérations monotones appliquée aux
systèmes d'équations différentielles fractionnaires*

Soutenu publiquement le 29 / 06 / 2025
à Tiaret devant le jury composé de :

Mr *BENHABI Mohamed*

M.A.A Université Tiaret

Président

Mr *MAHROUZ Tayeb*

M.C.A Université Tiaret

Examineur

Mr *BENDOUMA Bouharket*

M.C.A Université Tiaret

Encadreur

Année universitaire : 2024/2025

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank ALLAH who gave me the will and the courage to complete this work.

«الْأَمَّةُ لَكَ الْحَمْدُ»

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Mr. Bendouma Bouharket, who guided me and provided me with all the important advice and useful directions,. I am grateful for his help, patience, support, guidance, and for all the attention he paid to this work.

I would also like to extend my heartfelt thanks to all the members jury : Mr. Benhabi Mohamed and Mr. Mahrouz Tayeb for doing me the honor of being part of my jury.

Finally, I thank my family, friends and everyone who contributed, directly or indirectly, to the completion of this work.

DEDICATIONS

I dedicate this modest work:

To my dearest parents, the light of my life, who have endured so much and made countless sacrifices to ensure my happiness.

I dedicate this modest work to my dear parents, who have always helped and supported me in completing my studies.

I am deeply grateful for their advice, affection, and unwavering support.

Thank you for all the efforts you have made for me. May God protect you, keep you safe, and bless you.

I sincerely thank my brother Youcef, my pillar and greatest support, for always believing in me and encouraging me to achieve what I have accomplished today.

To my dear brothers,

To my dear sisters,

To my little sweethearts: my nephews and nieces,

To my entire family,

To all my friends,

And to all my teachers, for their valuable advice, patience, and dedication.

This achievement is not mine alone, it belongs to all of you who believed in me.

RAHMOUNI Leila

Abstract

In this work, we present existence of extremal solutions for nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions (nonlocal conditions) and for coupled systems of nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with initial conditions. Also, we present the existence of extremal solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving the ψ -Caputo derivative with initial conditions.

Our results will be obtained by using the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions.

Key words and phrases: fractional calculus, Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations, ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives, systems of fractional differential equations, upper and lower solutions, monotone iterative technique.

Résumé

Nous présentons dans ce mémoire, l'existence de solutions extrêmes pour des équations différentielles à dérivées fractionnaires au sens de Riemann-Liouville avec condition intégrale aux limites, et pour un système couplé d'équations différentielles non linéaires à dérivées fractionnaires au sens de Riemann-Liouville avec des conditions initiales. Aussi, nous présentons l'existence de solutions extrêmes pour un système couplé d'équations différentielles non linéaires à dérivées fractionnaires au sens de ψ -Caputo avec conditions initiales.

Ces résultats sont obtenus grâce à la technique itérative monotone combinée à la méthode des sous et sur solutions.

Mots Clés: Calcul fractionnaire, dérivée fractionnaire de ψ -Caputo, équations différentielles à dérivées fractionnaires au sens de Riemann-Liouville, systèmes d'équations différentielles fractionnaires, sous et sur solutions, technique des itérations monotones.

Contents

Contents	1
Introduction	2
1 Preliminaries	4
1.1 Elements of Functional Analysis	4
1.2 Fractional Calculus.	5
2 Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions	9
2.1 Linear fractional differential equations	10
2.2 Main Result	14
2.3 An example	18
3 Coupled systems of nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations	20
3.1 Linear problems and comparison results	21
3.2 Main Result	23
3.3 An example	27
4 Coupled systems of fractional differential equations with ψ-Caputo fractional derivatives	29
4.1 Linear problems and comparison results	30
4.2 Main Result	32
4.3 Examples	38

Introduction

Fractional calculus is a generalization of ordinary differentiation and integration to arbitrary non-integer order. We can find numerous applications of differential equations of fractional order in various sciences such as physics, mechanics, electrochemistry, control, population dynamics, electrodynamics and electromagnetic, etc. For details, see [10, 29, 32, 34, 37, 40]. Several approaches to fractional derivatives exist: Caputo, Riemann-Liouville (RL), Hadamard, Grunwald-Letnikov (GL), etc., can be found in [11, 12, 16].

Recently, a new fractional derivative, called the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative, was introduced by Almeida in [4]. For recent results on ψ -Caputo fractional derivative we refer the reader to [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 20, 21, 39].

In this work, we present existence of extremal solutions for nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions (nonlocal conditions) and for coupled systems of nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with initial conditions. Also, we present the existence of extremal solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving the ψ -Caputo derivative with initial conditions.

The monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions has been applied by several authors, see [3, 9, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 30, 31, 33, 42, 43, 46]. The purpose of this method is to constructing two monotone iterative sequences, by using x_0, y_0 the lower and upper solutions with $x_0 \leq y_0$, showing the convergence of the constructed sequences, and proving these two sequences approximate the extremal solutions of the given problem.

We have organized this work as follows:

In Chapter 1, we present some definitions and results which are used throughout this work.

In Chapter 2, we investigate the existence of extremal solutions of boundary value problem for the following nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, T], T > 0, \\ x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, & d \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

where $0 < q < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and ${}^{RL}D^\alpha x$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of x of order q .

In Chapter 3, we investigate the existence of solutions for the following system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in I = (0, b], \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) = g(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in I = (0, b], \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} = u_0, & t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases}$$

where, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, ${}^{RL}D^\alpha$ is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α , $J = [0, b]$, $b > 0$, $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 \leq v_0$ and $f, g \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

In Chapter 4, we investigate the existence of extremal solutions for the following coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving the ψ -Caputo derivative with initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) = h_1(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} y(t) = h_2(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ x(a) = x_a, & y(a) = y_a. \end{cases}$$

where $h_1, h_2 \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $x_a, y_a \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_a \leq y_a$ and ${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi}$ is the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Existence results for these problems are obtained by using the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions, as presented respectively in the following articles [43, 42, 21].

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

We present in this Chapter some notations and definitions of Fractional Calculus Theory and some fixed point theorems.

Let $C(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of continuous functions from $\mathcal{J} = [a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ into \mathbb{R} with the norm

$$\|y\| = \sup\{|y(t)| : t \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

$C_{1-\alpha}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}) = \{y \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R}); t^{1-\alpha}y \in C(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}), \text{ with } 0 < \alpha < 1\}$, is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|y\|_{C_{1-\alpha}} = \sup\{t^{1-\alpha}|y(t)| : t \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

1.1 Elements of Functional Analysis

Definition 1.1.1 [36]. Let E, F be Banach spaces and $T : E \rightarrow F$.

- (i) The operator T is said to be bounded if it maps any bounded subset of E into a bounded subset of F .
- (ii) The operator T is called compact if $T(E)$ is relatively compact (i.e., $\overline{T(E)}$ is compact).
- (iii) The operator T is said to be completely continuous if it is continuous and maps any bounded subset of E into a relatively compact subset of F .

Theorem 1.1.2 (Arzela-Ascoli Theorem [35]). A subset \mathcal{F} of $C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is relatively compact (i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact) if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. \mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded i.e, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t)\| < M \text{ for each } t \in [a, b] \text{ and each } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

2. \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous i.e, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$, $|t_2 - t_1| \leq \delta$ implies $\|f(t_2) - f(t_1)\| \leq \varepsilon$, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 1.1.3 (Arzela-Ascoli Theorem [15](Sequential Version)). If $\{f_n(t)\}$ is a uniformly bounded and equicontinuous sequence of real functions on an interval $[a, b]$, then there is a subsequence which converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to a continuous function.

Theorem 1.1.4 (Banach's fixed point theorem [26]) Let C be a non-empty closed subset of a Banach space X , then any contraction mapping T of C into itself has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 1.1.5 (Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem [25]). Suppose $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ are (Lebesgue) measurable functions such that

- a. $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$.
- b. There is an integrable $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ with $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then f is integrable as is f_n for each n , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_n d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu.$$

1.2 Fractional Calculus.

In this section, we introduce some necessary definitions and properties of the fractional calculus which are used in this report and can be found in [1, 27, 28].

Definition 1.2.1 [29] (The Euler gamma function)

The gamma function Γ is defined by the following integral:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0.$$

This integral is convergent for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(z) > 0$.

Let us recall some properties of the gamma function:

1. $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$, $\Re(z) > 0$.
2. $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Re(z) > 0$.
3. $\Gamma(1) = 1$, $\Gamma(2) = 1$, $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ and $\Gamma(3/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$.

Definition 1.2.2 [29](Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals) The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral $I_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined for function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds,$$

where Γ is the gamma function. For $a = 0$ we put $I_0^{\alpha} f(t) = I^{\alpha} f(t)$.

Definition 1.2.3 [29](Riemann-Liouville fractional Derivatives). The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives ${}^{RL}D_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha \in (n-1, n]$ is defined by

$${}^{RL}D_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n I_{a+}^{n-\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f(s) ds,$$

Here $n = [\alpha] + 1$. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then

$${}^{RL}D_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f(s) ds.$$

Definition 1.2.4 [29](The Caputo fractional Derivatives) The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (n-1, n]$, of function f is given by

$${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n f(s) ds.$$

Here $n = [\alpha] + 1$. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then

$${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha} h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f'(s) ds.$$

For $a = 0$ we put ${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t) = {}^C D^{\alpha} f(t)$.

Caputo fractional order derivative of certain functions as follow:

1. ${}^C D^{\alpha} \lambda = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. ${}^C D^{\alpha} (t^{\beta}) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)} t^{\beta-\alpha}, \quad \beta > 0$.
3. ${}^C D^{\alpha} (e^{\beta t}) = \beta^{\alpha} e^{\beta t}, \quad \beta > 0$.

Lemma 1.2.5 [29] If $h \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha} h(t) = h(t) - h(a).$$

Next, we present the definitions and some properties of Mittag-Leffler functions (see [19, 24, 38, 41]).

Definition 1.2.6 [29] *The classical Mittag-Leffler function is defined by*

$$E_{\alpha,1}(z) = E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + 1)}, \quad \alpha, z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \Re(\alpha) > 0.$$

The generalized Mittag-Leffler function is defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > 0 \text{ and } \Re(\beta) > 0.$$

In particular,

$$E_{\alpha,0}(z) = zE_{\alpha,\alpha}(z); \quad E_{\alpha,1}(0) = E_{\alpha}(0) = 1; \quad E_{\alpha,\alpha}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

Now, we present the definitions and some properties of ψ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals and ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives, (see [4, 6, 29]).

Definition 1.2.7 [4] (*ψ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals*) For $\alpha > 0$, the left-sided ψ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α of an integrable function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the increasing differentiable function $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\psi'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in J = [a, b]$ is defined as

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds.$$

Definition 1.2.8 [4] (*ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives*) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\psi, f \in C^n(J, \mathbb{R})$ be two functions such that ψ is increasing with $\psi'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in J = [a, b]$. The left-sided ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of a function f of order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by:

$${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} f(t) = I_{a+}^{n-\alpha;\psi} \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n f(t).$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, $n = \alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

From the above definition, we have:

$${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_a^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{n-\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n f(s) ds, \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N},$$

$${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} f(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n f(s), \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 1.2.9 *Note that, if we take $\psi(t) = t$, then we have*

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} f(t) = I_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t), \quad \text{and} \quad {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} f(t) = {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha} f(t).$$

Proposition 1.2.10 [6, 29] *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $f : J = [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous function. Then for all $t \in J$ we have,*

- 1). $I_{a+}^{\alpha, \psi} I_{a+}^{\beta, \psi} f(t) = I_{a+}^{\alpha+\beta, \psi} f(t)$.
- 2). ${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha, \psi} I_{a+}^{\alpha, \psi} f(t) = f(t)$.
- 3). ${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha, \psi} (\psi(t) - \psi(a)) = 0$.

Lemma 1.2.11 [6] *If $f \in C^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$I_{a+}^{\alpha, \psi} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha, \psi} f(t) = f(t) - f(a).$$

Chapter 2

Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions

In this chapter, we mainly investigate the existence of extremal solutions of boundary value problem for the following nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, T], T > 0, \\ x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, & d \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 < q < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and ${}^{RL}D^q x$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of x of order q .

The existence of solutions for (2.1) is proved by using the monotone iterative technique and the method of coupled upper and lower solution. The original results of this chapter are found in [43].

In [45], Wang and Xie, developed monotone iterative method for the following fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions with Hölder continuity and obtained existence and uniqueness of solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, T], T > 0, \\ x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, & d \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

where $0 < q < 1$, λ , is 1 or -1 , $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and ${}^{RL}D^q x$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of x of order q .

D. Dhaigude et al. in [23], developed monotone iterative technique by introducing upper and lower solutions to Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with

deviating arguments and integral boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = f(t, x(t), x(\theta(t))), & t \in I = [0, T], T > 0, \\ x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, & d \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

where $0 < q < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta : I \rightarrow I$ are a continuous functions and $\theta(t) \leq t, t \in I$.

G. Wang in [44], studied the existence of solutions to the following boundary value problems for fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions and deviating arguments:

$$\begin{cases} {}^cD^q y(t) = f(t, y(t), x(\theta(t))), & t \in I = [0, T], \\ g(\hat{y}(0), \hat{y}(T)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $f : I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\theta : I \rightarrow I$ are a a continuous functions, $\hat{y}(0) = t^{1-q}y(t)\Big|_{t=0}$, $\hat{y}(T) = t^{1-q}y(t)\Big|_{t=T}$ and ${}^{RL}D^q x$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of x of order q .

2.1 Linear fractional differential equations

In this section, we study the expression of the solutions of a linear fractional differential equation involving integral boundary problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = g(t), & t \in I = [0, T], T > 0, \\ x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where $g \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$, $0 < q < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

We introduce the following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1-\alpha}(I, \mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in C((0, T], \mathbb{R}); t^{1-\alpha}f \in C(I, \mathbb{R}), \text{ with } 0 < \alpha < 1\} \\ C^1(I, \mathbb{R}) &= \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ is differentiable on } I \text{ and } f' \in C(I, \mathbb{R})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1.1 *Let $0 < q < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

A function $x \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the problem (2.2) if and only if x is a solution of the following integral equation:

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} g(s) ds + \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Assume that $x \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the integral equation (2.3). Applying the Riemann-Liouville operator ${}^{RL}D^q$ to both sides of the integral equation (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{RL}D^q x(t) &= {}^{RL}D^q \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} g(s) ds + \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d \right), \\
&= {}^{RL}D^q \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} g(s) ds + x(0) \right) \\
&= {}^{RL}D^q \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} g(s) ds \right) + {}^{RL}D^q x(0), \\
&= {}^{RL}D^q I^q g(t) + {}^{RL}D^q x(0), \\
&= g(t) + {}^{RL}D^q x(0).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by, we have

$${}^{RL}D^q x(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (I^{1-q} x(t)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-q} x(s) ds.$$

So,

$${}^{RL}D^q x(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Finally we have

$${}^{RL}D^q x(t) = g(t).$$

In addition, we have $x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d$ from the integral equation (2.3).

Consequently x is solution of problem (2.2).

Conversely, Assume that x satisfies the problem (2.2). if ${}^{RL}D^q x(t) = g(t)$ then $I^q ({}^{RL}D^q x(t)) = I^q g(t)$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I^q ({}^{RL}D^q x(t)) &= x(t) - [{}^{RL}D^{q-1} x(t)]_{t=0} \frac{(t-0)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
&= x(t) - x(0) \frac{(t-0)^{1-q}}{\Gamma(2-q)} \frac{(t-0)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \\
&= x(t) - x(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) &= I^q ({}^{RL}D^q x(t)) + x(0) \\
&= I^q g(t) + \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} g(s) ds + \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d.$$

□

Lemma 2.1.2 *Let $0 < q < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$. If $\lambda < \frac{1}{T}$, then (2.2) has a unique solution $x \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Define an operator $\mathcal{A} : C(I, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(I, \mathbb{R})$ par

$$\mathcal{A}(x)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} g(s) ds + \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, \quad (2.4)$$

For any $x, y \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(x(t)) - \mathcal{A}(y(t))| &= \lambda \int_0^T (x(s) - y(s)) ds, \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^T |x(s) - y(s)| ds \\ &\leq \lambda T |x(s) - y(s)| < |x(s) - y(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}y\| < \|x - y\|$, we know that \mathcal{A} is a contraction operator on $C(I, \mathbb{R})$. Consequently, by the Banach fixed point theorem, the operator \mathcal{A} has a unique fixed point x , i.e. $x(t)$ is a unique solution of (2.2). □

Lemma 2.1.3 *Let $0 < q < 1$ and $m \in C_{1-\alpha}(I, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that for any $t \in (0, T]$, we have $m(t_1) = 0$ and $m(t) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_1$. Then it follows that*

$${}^{RL}D^q m(t_1) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Consider $m \in C_{1-\alpha}(I, \mathbb{R})$, such that $m(t_1) = 0$ and $m(t) \leq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_1$. Then, $m(t)$ is continuous on $(0, T]$ and $t^{1-q}m(t)$ is continuous on $I = [0, T]$. Since $m(t)$ is continuous on $(0, T]$, given any t_1 such that $0 < t_1 < T$, there exists a $k(t_1) > 0$ and $h > 0$ such that

$$-k(t_1)(t_1 - s) \leq m(t) - m(s) \leq k(t_1)(t_1 - s), \text{ for } 0 < t_1 - h \leq s \leq t_1 + h < T.$$

We have ${}^{RL}D^q m(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-q} m(s) ds$, set $H(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{-q} m(s) ds$ and consider

$$H(t_1) - H(t_1 - h) = \int_0^{t_1-h} [(t_1 - s)^{-q} - (t_1 - h - s)^{-q}] m(s) ds + \int_{t_1-h}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{-q} m(s) ds.$$

Let $I_1 = \int_0^{t_1-h} [(t_1-s)^{-q} - (t_1-h-s)^{-q}]m(s)ds$ and $I_2 = \int_{t_1-h}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{-q}m(s)ds$. Since $t_1-s > t_1-h-s$ and $-q < 0$, we have $(t_1-s)^{-q} < (t_1-h-s)^{-q}$.

This, coupled with the fact that $m(t) \leq 0$, $0 < t < t_1$, implies that $I_1 \geq 0$. Now, consider $I_2 = \int_{t_1-h}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{-q}m(s)ds$. Using (2.5) and the fact that $m(t_1) = 0$, for $s \in]t_1-h, t_1+h[$, we obtain,

$$m(s) \geq -k(t_1)(t_1-s), \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 \geq k(t_1) \int_{t_1-h}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{1-q}ds = -k(t_1) \frac{h^{2-q}}{2-q}.$$

Thus, we have

$$H(t_1) - H(t_1-h) \geq -\frac{k(t_1)h^{2-q}}{2-q}.$$

Then dividing through by h and taking limits as $h \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{H(t_1) - H(t_1-h)}{h} + \frac{k(t_1)h^{2-q}}{h(2-q)} \right] \geq 0.$$

Since $0 < 1-q < 1$ and $1 < 2-q < 2$, we conclude that $\frac{d}{dt}H(t_1) \geq 0$, which implies that ${}^{RL}D^q m(t_1) \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 2.1.4 (Comparison result) *Let $0 < q < 1$, $M \in C(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \sup_{t \in I} M(t)$. Suppose that $p \in C_{1-\alpha}(I, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q p(t) \geq -M(t)p(t), \\ t^{1-q}p(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

If $\mathcal{M}T^q\Gamma(1-q) < 1$, then, $p(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in I$.

Proof. We put $p_\theta(t) = p(t) + \theta$ with $\theta > 0$, $t \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{RL}D^q p_\theta(t) &= {}^{RL}D^q p(t) + {}^{RL}D^q \theta, \\ &\geq -M(t)p(t) + \frac{\theta t^{-q}}{\Gamma(1-q)} \\ &\geq -M(t)p_\theta(t) - M(t)\theta + \frac{\theta}{t^q\Gamma(1-q)} \\ &\geq -M(t)p_\theta(t) - \mathcal{M}\theta + \frac{\theta}{T^q\Gamma(1-q)} \\ &= -M(t)p_\theta(t) + \theta \frac{1 - \mathcal{M}T^q\Gamma(1-q)}{T^q\Gamma(1-q)} \\ &> -M(t)p_\theta(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t^{1-q}p_\theta(t)|_{t=0} = t^{1-q}p(t)|_{t=0} + t^{1-q}\theta|_{t=0} > 0.$$

Next, we prove that $p_\theta(t) > 0$ on I . Assume that $p_\theta(t) > 0$ is not true. Then, by $t^{1-q}p_\theta|_{t=0} > 0$, it follows that there exists a $t_1 \in (0, T]$ such that $p_\theta(t_1) = 0$ and $p_\theta(t) > 0$, $0 < t < t_1$. Let $m(t) = -p_\theta(t)$, by Lemma 2.1.3, we have ${}^{RL}D^q m(t_1) \geq 0$. So, we have ${}^{RL}D^q p_\theta(t_1) \leq 0$, i.e. $-M(t_1)p_\theta(t_1) < 0$, which implies $p_\theta(t_1) > 0$. It is a contradiction. So we have $p_\theta(t) > 0$, $t \in I = [0, T]$ is true. That is $p(t) + \theta > 0$, $t \in I$. By the arbitrariness of θ , we can get $p(t) \geq 0$, $t \in I$. \square

2.2 Main Result

In this section, we prove the existence of extremal solutions for problem (2.1). Let us defining what we mean by a solution of this problem.

Definition 2.2.1 *A solution of problem (2.1) will be a function $x \in C^1(I = [0, T], \mathbb{R})$ for which (2.1) is satisfied.*

Next, we introduce the concept of coupled lower and upper solutions of this problem as follows.

Definition 2.2.2 *We say that $(x_0, y_0) \in (C^1(I, \mathbb{R}))^2$ is a pair of coupled lower and upper solutions of the problem (2.1), respectively, if $x_0(t) \leq y_0(t)$ for all $t \in I$ and the following inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x_0(t) \leq f(t, x_0(t)), & t \in I, & x_0(0) \leq \lambda \int_0^T x_0(s)ds + d, \\ {}^{RL}D^q y_0(t) \geq f(t, y_0(t)), & t \in I, & y_0(0) \geq \lambda \int_0^T y_0(s)ds + d. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

We define the sector:

$$\mathfrak{D} = [x_0, y_0] = \{x \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R}) : x_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq y_0(t), t \in I = [0, T]\}.$$

We assume the following hypothesis:

(G₁) $f : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous function.

(G₂) There exists $(x_0, y_0) \in (C^1(I, \mathbb{R}))^2$ a pair of coupled lower and upper solutions of (2.1), with $x_0(t) \leq y_0(t)$ for $t \in I$.

(G₃) There exist $M > 0$ with $\mathcal{M}T^q\Gamma(1 - q) < 1$ such that

$$f(t, x) - f(t, y) \leq M(x - y), \quad (2.7)$$

where $x_0(t) \leq x \leq y \leq y_0(t)$, for all $t \in I$.

Now, We have the following results.

Theorem 2.2.3 Assume that (G_1) , (G_2) and (G_3) hold. If

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = f(t, x_0(t)) - M(x(t) - x_0(t)), & t \in I, & x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x_0(s)ds + d, \\ {}^{RL}D^q y(t) = f(t, y_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t)), & t \in I, & y(0) = \lambda \int_0^T y_0(s)ds + d. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

Then,

$$x_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq y(t) \leq y_0(t), \quad t \in I,$$

with x, y are lower and upper solutions of problem (2.1), respectively.

Proof. Note that there exist unique solutions (x, y) to the boundary value problem (2.1).

Let $p = x - x_0$ and $\varphi = y_0 - y$, we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q p(t) = {}^{RL}D^q x(t) - {}^{RL}D^q x_0(t), \\ \geq f(t, x_0(t)) - M(x(t) - x_0(t)) - f(t, x_0(t)) = -Mp(t), & t \in I, \\ p(0) \geq \lambda \int_0^T x_0(s)ds - \lambda \int_0^T x_0(s)ds = 0, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q p(t) \geq -Mp(t), & t \in I, \\ p(0) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

And

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q \varphi(t) = {}^{RL}D^q y_0(t) - {}^{RL}D^q y(t), \\ \geq f(t, y_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t)) - f(t, y_0(t)) = -M\varphi(t), & t \in I, \\ \varphi(0) \geq \lambda \int_0^T y_0(s)ds - \lambda \int_0^T y_0(s)ds = 0, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q \varphi(t) \geq -M\varphi(t), & t \in I, \\ \varphi(0) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1.4, we have $p(t) \geq 0, \varphi(t) \geq 0, t \in I$, then $x(t) \geq x_0(t), y_0(t) \geq y(t), t \in I$.

Now let $m = y - x$. Assumption (G_2) yields

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q m(t) = {}^{RL}D^q y(t) - {}^{RL}D^q x(t) \\ \quad = f(t, y_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t)) - f(t, x_0(t)) - M(x(t) - x_0(t)) \\ \quad = f(t, y_0(t)) - f(t, x_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t) - x(t) + x_0(t)) \\ \quad \geq -M(y_0(t) - x_0(t)) + M(y_0(t) - x_0(t)) - M(y(t) - x(t)) = -Mm(t), \quad t \in I. \\ m(0) = \lambda \int_0^T (y_0(s) - x_0(s)) \geq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

i.e.,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q m(t) \geq -Mm(t), \quad t \in I, \\ m(0) \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence $m(t) \geq 0, t \in I$, then $y(t) \geq x(t), t \in I$. So $x_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq y(t) \leq y_0(t), t \in I$.

Now, we need to show that y, x are upper and lower solutions of problem (2.1), respectively.

Using Assumption (G_2) , we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q y(t) = f(t, y_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t)), \\ \quad = f(t, y_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t)) - f(t, y(t)) + f(t, y(t)) \\ \quad \geq f(t, y_0(t)) - M(y(t) - y_0(t)) + M(y(t) - y_0(t)) = f(t, y(t)). \\ y(0) = \lambda \int_0^T y_0(s) ds + d \geq \lambda \int_0^T y(s) ds + d, \end{array} \right.$$

i.e.,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q y(t) \geq f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in I, \\ y(0) \geq \lambda \int_0^T y(s) ds + d. \end{array} \right.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) = f(t, x_0(t)) - M(x(t) - x_0(t)), \\ \quad = f(t, x_0(t)) - M(x(t) - x_0(t)) - f(t, x(t)) + f(t, x(t)) \\ \quad \leq f(t, x(t)) - M(x(t) - x_0(t)) + M(x(t) - x_0(t)) = f(t, x(t)). \\ x(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x_0(s) ds + d \leq \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d, \end{array} \right.$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x(t) \leq f(t, x(t)), & t \in I, \\ x(0) \leq \lambda \int_0^T x(s) ds + d. \end{cases}$$

So, y, x are upper and lower solutions of (2.1), respectively. \square

Now we give the main result on the existence of extremal solutions for the nonlinear problem (2.1).

Theorem 2.2.4 *Assume that (G_1) , (G_2) and (G_3) hold. Then there exist monotone iterative sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C(I, \mathbb{R})$ converging uniformly to x^*, y^* , respectively, (i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*$), and x^*, y^* are the extremal solutions of problem (2.1) in the sector $\mathfrak{D} = [x_0, y_0]$, such that*

$$x_0 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq \dots \leq y_0, \quad \text{on } I \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. For all $x_n, y_n \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$, let

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^q x_{n+1}(t) = f(t, x_n(t)) - M(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)), & t \in I, & x_{n+1}(0) = \lambda \int_0^T x_n(s) ds + d, \\ {}^{RL}D^q y_{n+1}(t) = f(t, y_n(t)) - M(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)), & t \in I, & y_{n+1}(0) = \lambda \int_0^T y_n(s) ds + d, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

obviously, by Theorem 2.2.3, we have that $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq y_1 \leq y_0$, on I , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and y_1, x_1 are upper and lower solutions of (2.1), respectively.

Assume that

$$x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_k \leq x_{k+1} \leq y_{k+1} \leq y_k \leq \dots \leq y_1 \leq y_0,$$

for some $k \geq 1$ and let x_k, y_k be lower and upper solutions of (2.1), respectively. Then, using again Theorem 2.2.3, we get $x_k(t) \leq x_{k+1}(t) \leq y_{k+1}(t) \leq y_k(t)$, $t \in I$. By induction, we have that

$$x_0(t) \leq x_1(t) \leq \dots \leq x_n(t) \leq y_n(t) \leq \dots \leq y_1(t) \leq y_0(t), \quad t \in I.$$

Obviously, the sequences x_n, y_n are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, applying the standard arguments, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x^*(t); \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y_n = y^*(t);$$

uniformly on I . indeed, x^* and y^* are extremal generalized solutions of (2.1). To prove that x^* and y^* are extremal generalized solutions of (2.1), Assume that for some k , $x_k(t) \leq w(t) \leq y_k(t); t \in I$. Put $p = w - x_{k+1}, \varphi = y_{k+1} - w$. Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q p(t) = f(t, w(t)) - f(t, x_k(t)) + M(x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t)), \\ \geq -M(w(t) - x_k(t)) - M(x_k(t) - x_{k+1}(t)) = -Mp(t), \\ p(0) \geq \lambda \int_0^T (w(s) - x_k(s)) ds \geq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^q \varphi(t) = f(t, y_k(t)) - f(t, w(t)) - M(y_{k+1}(t) - y_k(t)), \\ \geq -M(y_k(t) - w(t)) - M(y_{k+1}(t) - y_k(t)) = -M\varphi(t), \\ \varphi(0) \geq \lambda \int_0^T (y_k(t) - w(t)) ds \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

By, Lemma 2.1.4, we have $x_{k+1}(t) \leq w(t) \leq y_{k+1}(t); t \in I$. It proves, by induction, that

$$x_n(t) \leq w(t) \leq y_n(t), \quad t \in I, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we get $x^*(t) \leq w(t) \leq y^*(t)$, $t \in I$. \square

2.3 An example

To illustrate our main results, we present the following example.

Example 2.3.1 Consider the boundary value problem of fractional differential equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^{1/2}x(t) = e^{t \sin^2 x(t)}, \quad t \in I = [0, \ln 2], \\ x(0) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\ln 2} x(s) ds + \frac{1}{2}. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.10)$$

This problem is a particular case of problem (2.1), with $0 < q = 1/2 < 1$, $T = \ln 2$, $d = \frac{1}{2}$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ and $0 \leq f(t, x) = e^{t \sin^2 x(t)} \leq e^t$, $t \in I$. It is clear that f is continuous function. Take $x_0(t) = 0$ and $y_0(t) = e^t$ for $t \in I$, then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^{1/2}x_0(t) = 0 \leq f(t, x_0(t)) = 1, \quad t \in I, \quad x_0(0) = 0 \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\ln 2} x_0(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \\ {}^{RL}D^{1/2}y_0(t) = e^t \geq f(t, y_0(t)) = e^{t \sin^2(e^t)}, \quad t \in I, \quad y_0(0) = 1 \geq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\ln 2} y_0(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{6}, \end{array} \right.$$

So, $x_0(t) = 0$ and $y_0(t) = e^t$ for $t \in I$, are coupled lower and upper solutions of problem (2.10) with $x_0(t) = 0 \leq y_0(t) = e^t$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, then assumptions (G_1) and (G_2)

holds.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, with $x_0(t) = 0 \leq x \leq y \leq y_0(t) = e^t$, for all $t \in I$. then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x) - f(t, y) &= e^{t \sin^2(x)} - e^{t \sin^2(y)} \leq 0 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(y - x), \end{aligned}$$

Hence the assumption (G_3) holds with $M = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} > 0$. In addition, we have $MT^q\Gamma(1-q) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}(\ln 2)^{1/2}\sqrt{\pi} \simeq 0.4162 < 1$. By Theorem 2.2.4, the nonlinear problem (2.10) has the extremal solutions (coupled minimal and maximal solutions, respectively) $(x^*, y^*) \in (\mathfrak{D})^2$ with $\mathfrak{D} = [0, e^t]$. i.e., $0 \leq x^* \leq y^* \leq e^t$, $t \in I = [0, \ln 2]$.

Chapter 3

Coupled systems of nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations

In this chapter, by using the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions, we investigate the existence of solutions for the following system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in I = (0, b], \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) = g(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in I = (0, b], \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} = u_0, & t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, ${}^{RL}D^\alpha$ is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α , $J = [0, b]$, $b > 0$, $u_0, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 \leq v_0$ and $f, g \in C(J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. The original results of this chapter are found in [42].

S. Liu. in [30], studied the existence of extremal iteration solution to the following coupled system of nonlinear conformable fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(\alpha)}(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in [a, b], \\ y^{(\alpha)}(t) = g(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in [a, b], \\ x(a) = \lambda_0, & y(a) = \beta_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $f, g \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $\lambda_0, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_0 \leq \beta_0$, $x^{(\alpha)}$, $y^{(\alpha)}$ are the conformable fractional derivatives with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

3.1 Linear problems and comparison results

In this section, we enunciate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for initial linear fractional differential equations and for a linear problem for systems of fractional differential equations.

Consider the set $C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{f \in C(I, \mathbb{R}); t^{1-\alpha}f \in C(J, \mathbb{R}), \text{ with } 0 < \alpha < 1\}$.

Lemma 3.1.1 *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If $g \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$, then the linear initial value problem:*

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) + Mx(t) = g(t), & t \in I =]0, b], \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

has a unique solution $x \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$, and it is given by the following expression:

$$x(t) = \Gamma(\alpha)u_0t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-Mt^\alpha) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-M(t-s)^\alpha)g(s)ds, \quad (3.4)$$

In particular, when $M = 0$, the initial problem (3.3) has the solution

$$x(t) = u_0t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}g(s)ds.$$

where $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(\cdot)$ is the Mittag-Leffler function.

Lemma 3.1.2 *Let $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u_0 \leq v_0$, $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \geq 0$ and $g_1, g_2 \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then the linear system*

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) = g_1(t) - Mx(t) - Ny(t), & \text{for } t \in I =]0, b], \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) = g_2(t) - My(t) - Nx(t), & \text{for } t \in I =]0, b], \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} = u_0, & t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} = v_0, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

has a unique system of solutions $(x, y) \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. The pair $(x, y) \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution to system (3.5) if and only if

$$x(t) = \frac{\theta(t) + \vartheta(t)}{2}, \text{ and } y(t) = \frac{\theta(t) - \vartheta(t)}{2}, t \in J.$$

where $\theta(t)$ and $\vartheta(t)$ are the solutions to the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha \theta(t) = (g_1 + g_2)(t) - (M + N)\theta(t), & t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha} \theta(t)|_{t=0} = u_0 + v_0, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha \vartheta(t) = (g_1 - g_2)(t) - (M - N)\vartheta(t), & t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha} \vartheta(t)|_{t=0} = u_0 - v_0, \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1.1, we have a unique solution $(\theta, \vartheta) \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t) &= \Gamma(\alpha) \theta_0 t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-Kt^\alpha) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-K(t-s)^\alpha) (g_1 + g_2)(s) ds, \\ \vartheta(t) &= \Gamma(\alpha) \vartheta_0 t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-Lt^\alpha) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-L(t-s)^\alpha) (g_1 - g_2)(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

where, $\theta_0 = u_0 + v_0$, $\vartheta_0 = u_0 - v_0$, $M + N = K$ and $M - N = L$. In consequence, $(x, y) \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$, are unique too. The proof is finished. \square

In the next Lemmas, we discuss comparison results for the linear problem (3.3) and for linear system (3.5).

Lemma 3.1.3 (*Comparison theorem 1*) *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\varphi \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the relations,*

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha \varphi(t) + M\varphi(t) \geq 0, & t \in I \\ t^{1-\alpha} \varphi(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

Then $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. 1. The case $M < 0$. In [46, Lemme 2.1], it is shown that if $M \geq 0$, then $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

2. The case $M < 0$. We put ${}^{RL}D^\alpha \varphi(t) + M\varphi(t) = g(t)$ and $t^{1-\alpha} \varphi(t)|_{t=0} = u_0 \geq 0$. We are know that $g(t) \geq 0$, for every $t \in I$ and $\varphi \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha \varphi(t) + M\varphi(t) = g(t) \geq 0, & t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha} \varphi(t)|_{t=0} = u_0 \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

By Lemma 3.1.1, the expression of $x(t)$ is:

$$\varphi(t) = \Gamma(\alpha) u_0 t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-Mt^\alpha) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-M(t-s)^\alpha) g(s) ds,$$

we can conclude that, $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in I$. \square

Lemma 3.1.4 (Comparison theorem 2). Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 0$. Assume that $x, y \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) \geq -M x(t) + N y(t), & \text{for } t \in I, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) \geq -M y(t) + N x(t), & \text{for } t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0, & t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Then $x(t) \geq 0$, $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. Let $\psi(t) = x(t) + y(t)$, then, by (3.7) we have the following:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha \psi(t) \geq -(M - N)\psi(t), & t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha}\psi(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, by (3.8) and Lemma 3.1.3, we know that

$$\psi(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in I, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad x(t) + y(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in I. \quad (3.9)$$

Next, we show that $x(t) \geq 0$, $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

In fact, by (3.7) and (3.9), we have that

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) + (M + N)x(t) \geq 0, & t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in I, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) + (M + N)y(t) \geq 0, & t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in I. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.10) and Lemma 3.1.3, we have $x(t) \geq 0$, $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$. The proof is completed. \square

3.2 Main Result

In this section, we prove the existence of extremal solutions of nonlinear system (3.1). Let us defining what we mean by a solution of this problem.

Definition 3.2.1 A solution of problem (3.1) will be a pair $(x, y) \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$ for which (3.1) is satisfied.

Next, we introduce the concept of coupled lower and upper solutions of this problem as follows.

Definition 3.2.2 We say that $\gamma, \delta \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a pair of coupled lower and upper solutions of the problem (3.1), if $\gamma(t) \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$ and the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha \gamma(t) \leq f(t, \gamma(t), \delta(t)), & \text{for } t \in I, \quad t^{1-\alpha}\gamma(t)|_{t=0} \leq u_0, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha \delta(t) \geq g(t, \delta(t), \gamma(t)), & \text{for } t \in I, \quad t^{1-\alpha}\delta(t)|_{t=0} \geq v_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

We define the sector:

$$[\gamma, \delta] = \{x \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) : \gamma(t) \leq x(t) \leq \delta(t), t \in J = [0, b]\}.$$

We assume the following assumptions:

(C₁) $f, g : I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions.

(C₂) There exists $\gamma, \delta \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$, a pair of coupled lower and upper solutions of the problem (3.1).

(C₃) There exist constants $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} f(t, x, y) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq -M(x - \bar{x}) - N(y - \bar{y}), \\ g(t, x, y) - g(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq -M(x - \bar{x}) - N(y - \bar{y}), \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma(t) \leq \bar{x} \leq x \leq \delta(t)$, $\gamma(t) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$, and

$$g(t, y, x) - f(t, x, y) \geq -M(x - y) - N(y - x),$$

where $\gamma(t) \leq x \leq y \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$.

Now, we can obtain our main theorem.

Theorem 3.2.3 *Suppose that conditions (C₁), (C₂) and (C₃) hold. Then, there is $(x^*, y^*) \in [\gamma, \delta] \times [\gamma, \delta]$ an extremal solution of the nonlinear problem (3.1). Moreover, there exist monotone iterative sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [\gamma, \delta]$ converging uniformly to x^*, y^* , respectively, $(x_n \rightarrow x^*, y_n \rightarrow y^*)$ on I , and*

$$\gamma = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq x^* \leq y^* \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq \dots \leq y_1 \leq y_0 = \delta, \text{ on } I. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Firstly, for all $x_{n-1}, y_{n-1} \in C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x_n(t) = f(t, x_{n-1}(t), y_{n-1}(t)) + M(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t)) + N(y_{n-1}(t) - y_n(t)), t \in I, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y_n(t) = g(t, y_{n-1}(t), x_{n-1}(t)) + M(y_{n-1}(t) - y_n(t)) + N(x_{n-1}(t) - x_n(t)), t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha}x_n(t)|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad t^{1-\alpha}y_n(t)|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

By Lemma 3.1.2, the linear system (3.13) has a unique system of solutions in $C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}(J, \mathbb{R})$. Next, we show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the property:

$$x_{n-1} \leq x_n \leq y_n \leq y_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.14)$$

Let $p = x_1 - x_0, q = y_0 - y_1$. From (3.13) and (C₂) and (C₃), we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha p(t) = {}^{RL}D^\alpha x_1 + {}^{RL}D^\alpha x_0 \geq -M(x_1(t) - x_0(t)) + N(y_0(t) - y_1(t)), & \text{for } t \in I \\ t^{1-\alpha}p(t)|_{t=0} \geq u_0 - u_0 = 0, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha q(t) = {}^{RL}D^\alpha y_0 + {}^{RL}D^\alpha y_1 \geq -M(y_0(t) - y_1(t)) + N(x_1(t) - x_0(t)), & \text{for } t \in I \\ t^{1-\alpha}q(t)|_{t=0} \geq v_0 - v_0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha p(t) \geq -Mp(t) + Nq(t), & \text{for } t \in I \quad t^{1-\alpha}q(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha q(t) \geq -Mq(t) + Np(t), & \text{for } t \in I \quad t^{1-\alpha}q(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1.4, we have $p(t) \geq 0, q(t) \geq 0$, i.e., $x_1 \geq x_0, y_1 \leq y_0$.

Let $w = y_1 - x_1$. By condition (C₃) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha w(t) & = {}^{RL}D^\alpha y_1(t) - {}^{RL}D^\alpha x_1(t) \\ & = g(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) + M(y_0(t) - y_1(t)) + N(x_0(t) - x_1(t)) \\ & \quad - f(t, x_0(t), y_0(t)) - M(x_0(t) - x_1(t)) - N(y_0(t) - y_1(t)) \\ & \geq -M(y_1(t) - x_1(t)) + N(y_1(t) - x_1(t)), \\ & = -(M - N)w(t), \\ t^{1-\alpha}w(t)|_{t=0} & = v_0 - u_0 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1.3, we have $w(t) \geq 0$, i.e., $y_1(t) \geq x_1(t)$ for all $t \in I =]0, b]$. Hence, we have the relation $x_0 \leq x_1 \leq y_1 \leq y_0$.

Now, we assume that $x_{k-1} \leq x_k \leq y_k \leq y_{k-1}$, for some $k \geq 1$ and we prove that (3.14) is true for $k + 1$ too. Let $p = x_{k+1} - x_k, q = y_k - y_{k+1}, w = y_{k+1} - x_{k+1}$.

By condition (C₃) and (3.13), we have that \dot{y}

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha p(t) \geq -Mp(t) + Nq(t), & \text{for } t \in I \quad t^{1-\alpha}q(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha q(t) \geq -Mq(t) + Np(t), & \text{for } t \in I \quad t^{1-\alpha}q(t)|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha w(t) \geq -(M - N)w(t), & \text{for } t \in I, \\ t^{1-\alpha}q(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

and so, by Lemmas 3.1.3 and 3.1.4, we have that $x_k \leq x_{k+1} \leq y_{k+1} \leq y_k$. From the above, by induction, it is not difficult to prove that

$$x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq \dots \leq y_1 \leq y_0. \quad (3.15)$$

Applying the standard arguments (the sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are monotone and bounded), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $I =]0, b]$, and the limit functions x^*, y^* satisfy (3.1). Moreover, $(x^*, y^*) \in [\gamma = x_0, \delta = y_0] \times [x_0, y_0]$. Taking the limits in (3.13), we know that (x^*, y^*) is a system of solutions of (3.1) in $[x_0, y_0] \times [x_0, y_0]$. Moreover, (3.12) is true.

Finally, we prove that (3.1) has at most one extremal system of solutions. Assume that $(x, y) \in [x_0, y_0] \times [x_0, y_0]$ is the system of solutions to (3.1), then

$$x_0 = \gamma \leq x, \quad y \leq y_0 = \delta$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in I = (0, b], \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) = g(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in I = (0, b], \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} = u_0, & t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} = v_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

For some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that the following relation holds

$$x_k(t) \leq x(t), \quad y(t) \leq y_k(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Let $u(t) = x(t) - x_{k+1}(t)$, $v(t) = y_{k+1}(t) - y(t)$. According to (3.13) and (C₃), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^\alpha u(t) = {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) - {}^{RL}D^\alpha x_{k+1}(t) \\ \quad = f(t, x(t), y(t)) - f(t, x_k(t), y_k(t)) - M(x_k(t) - x_{k+1}(t)) \\ \quad \quad - N(y_k(t) - y_{k+1}(t)), \\ \geq -M(x(t) - x_k(t)) - N(y(t) - y_k(t)) - M(x_k(t) - x_{k+1}(t)) \\ \quad \quad - N(y_k(t) - y_{k+1}(t)) \\ \quad = -M(x(t) - x_{k+1}(t)) + N(y_{k+1}(t) - y(t)). \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^\alpha v(t) = {}^{RL}D^\alpha y_{k+1}(t) - {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) \\ \quad = g(t, y_k(t), x_k(t)) + M(y_k(t) - y_{k+1}(t)) + N(x_k(t) - x_{k+1}(t)) \\ \quad \quad - g(t, y(t), x(t)) \\ \geq -M(y_k(t) - y(t)) - N(x_k(t) - x(t)) + M(y_k(t) - y_{k+1}(t)) \\ \quad \quad + N(x_k(t) - x_{k+1}(t)) \\ = -M(y_{k+1}(t) - y(t)) + N(x(t) - x_{k+1}(t)), \end{array} \right.$$

we can get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^\alpha u(t) \geq -Mu(t) + Nv(t), \text{ for } t \in I \text{ } t^{1-\alpha}u(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0, \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha v(t) \geq -Mv(t) + Nu(t), \text{ for } t \in I \text{ } t^{1-\alpha}v(t)|_{t=0} \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1.3, we have $u(t) \geq 0$, $v(t) \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$x_{k+1}(t) \leq x(t), \quad y(t) \leq y_{k+1}(t), \quad t \in I.$$

By the induction arguments, the following relation holds

$$x_n(t) \leq x(t), \quad y(t) \leq y_n(t), \quad \text{on } I \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.17)$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.17), we get that $x^* \leq x$, $y \leq y^*$.

Hence, $(x^*, y^*) \in [\gamma, \delta] \times [\gamma, \delta]$ is the extremal system of solutions to (3.1).

So the proof is finished. \square

3.3 An example

We present an example where we apply Theorem 3.2.3.

Example 3.3.1 Consider the system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{RL}D^\alpha x(t) = 2t^3[t - x(t)]^3 - t^4y^2(t), \quad t \in I =]0, 1], \\ {}^{RL}D^\alpha y(t) = 2t^3[t - y(t)]^3 - t^4x^2(t), \quad t \in I =]0, 1], \\ t^{1-\alpha}x(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad t^{1-\alpha}y(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.18)$$

where $J = [0, 1]$, $f(t, x, y) = 2t^3[t - x(t)]^3 - t^4y^2(t)$, $g(t, y, x) = 2t^3[t - y(t)]^3 - t^4x^2(t)$ and ${}^{RL}D^\alpha x$ denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of x of order α .

It is clear that f, g are continuous functions. Take $\gamma(t) = 0$ and $\delta(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$${}^{RL}D^\alpha \gamma(t) = 0 \leq f(t, \gamma(t), \delta(t)) = t^6 \text{ for } t \in]0, 1], \quad t^{1-\alpha} \gamma(t)|_{t=0} = 0 \leq 0,$$

and

$${}^{RL}D^\alpha \delta(t) = \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \geq g(t, \delta(t), \gamma(t)) = 0 \text{ for } t \in]0, 1], \quad t^{1-\alpha} \delta(t)|_{t=0} = 0 \geq 0.$$

So, γ and δ , are lower and upper solutions of problem (3.18), respectively with $\gamma(t) = 0 \leq \delta(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then assumptions (C_1) and (C_2) holds.

Let $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x, y) - f(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) &= 2t^3 ((t-x)^3 - (t-\bar{x})^3) - t^4 (y^2 - \bar{y}^2) \\ &\geq -2t^3 (x - \bar{x}) ((t-x)^2 + (t-x)(t-\bar{x}) + (t-\bar{x})^2) - (y - \bar{y})(y + \bar{y}) \\ &\geq -6(x - \bar{x}) \\ &\geq -6(x - \bar{x}) - 0(y - \bar{y}), \end{aligned}$$

$$g(t, x, y) - g(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq -6.(x - \bar{x}) - 0(y - \bar{y}),$$

with $\gamma(t) \leq \bar{x} \leq x \leq \delta(t)$, $\gamma(t) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$, and we have

$$g(t, y, x) - f(t, x, y) \geq 6(x - y) + 0.(y - x),$$

with $\gamma(t) \leq x \leq y \leq \delta(t)$, for all $t \in I$.

Hence the assumption (C_3) holds with $M = 6$ and $N = 0$. By Theorem 3.2.3, the nonlinear system (3.18) has the extremal solution $(x^*, y^*) \in C_{1-\alpha}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \times C_{1-\alpha}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, such that $(x^*, y^*) \in [\gamma, \delta] \times [\gamma, \delta]$ on $[0, 1]$, which can be obtained by taking limits from the iterative sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1}(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-6(t-s)^\alpha) (2s^3(s-x_n(s))^3 + 6x_n(s) - s^4 y_n^2(s)) ds, \quad t \in j, \\ y_{n+1}(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-6(t-s)^\alpha) (2s^3(s-y_n(s))^3 + 6y_n(s) - s^4 x_n^2(s)) ds, \quad t \in J. \end{aligned}$$

Chapter 4

Coupled systems of fractional differential equations with ψ -Caputo fractional derivatives

In this chapter, we investigate the existence of extremal solutions for the following coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations involving the ψ -Caputo derivative with initial conditions, by using the comparison principle and the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x(t) = h_1(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y(t) = h_2(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ x(a) = x_a, y(a) = y_a. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $h_1, h_2 \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $x_a, y_a \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_a \leq y_a$ and ${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi}$ is the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The original results of this chapter are found in [21].

C. Derbazi. in [20], studied the existence of extremal iteration solution to the following nonlinear initial value problem of fractional differential equations involving the ψ -Caputo derivative:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ x(a) = x_a. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where $f \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $x_a \in \mathbb{R}$, and ${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi}$ is the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

4.1 Linear problems and comparison results

In this section, we study the expression of the solutions of a linear ψ -Caputo fractional differential equations and of a linear system of ψ -Caputo differential equations with initial value conditions.

Lemma 4.1.1 *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_a \in \mathbb{R}$. If $h \in C([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, then the linear problem:*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) + \lambda x(t) = h(t), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ x(a) = x_a, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

has a unique solution $x \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, and it is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x_a E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha) \\ &+ \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha) h(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, when $\lambda = 0$, the initial problem (4.3) has the unique solution

$$x(t) = x_a + \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} h(s) ds.$$

where $E_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot)$ is the two-parametric Mittag-Leffer function.

Lemma 4.1.2 *Let $\alpha \in]0, 1]$, $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h, g \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$. Then the linear system*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) + \lambda x(t) + \mu y(t) = h(t), & \text{for } t \in J = [a, b], \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} y(t) = g(t) - M y(t) - N x(t), & \text{for } t \in J = [a, b], \\ x(a) = x_a, \quad y(a) = y_a, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

has a unique system of solutions $(x, y) \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C(J, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C(J, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$x(t) = \frac{\theta(t) + \vartheta(t)}{2}, \quad \text{and } y(t) = \frac{\theta(t) - \vartheta(t)}{2}, \quad t \in J.$$

Using (4.5), we have:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} \theta(t) + (\lambda + \mu)\theta(t) = (h + g)(t), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ \theta(a) = \theta_a = x_a + y_a, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \vartheta(t) + (\lambda + \mu)\vartheta(t) = (h + g)(t), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ \vartheta(a) = \vartheta_a = x_a - y_a, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

From Lemma , we know that equations (4.6) and (4.7) have a unique solution $\theta \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\vartheta \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, respectively, which can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t) &= \theta_a E_{\alpha,1}(-(\lambda + \mu)(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-(\lambda + \mu)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha) (h(s) + g(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(t) &= \vartheta_a E_{\alpha,1}(-(\lambda - \mu)(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-(\lambda - \mu)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha) (h(s) - g(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the linear system (4.5) has a unique solution (θ, ϑ) . \square

In the next Lemmas, we discuss comparison results for linear problem (4.3) and for linear system (4.5).

Lemma 4.1.3 (*Comparison result 1*). *Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\varphi \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies,*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \varphi(t) \geq -\lambda\varphi(t), & t \in J = [a, b], \\ \varphi(a) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

then $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in J = [a, b]$.

Proof. we put ${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \varphi(t) + \lambda\varphi(t) = g(t)$ and $\varphi(a) = \varphi_a \geq 0$. We are know that $\varphi_a \geq 0$, $g(t) \geq 0$, for every $t \in J = [a, b]$ and

$${}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \varphi(t) + \lambda\varphi(t) = g(t), \quad \varphi(a) = \varphi_a.$$

By Lemma 4.1, the expression of $x(t)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= \varphi_a E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha) g(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We have $E_{\alpha,1}(z) \geq 0$ and $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(z)$, for all $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, we can conclude that, $\varphi(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in J = [a, b]$. \square

Lemma 4.1.4 (Comparison theorem 2). Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 0$. If $x, y \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) \geq -M x(t) + N y(t), & \text{for } t \in J = [a, b], \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} y(t) \geq -M y(t) + N x(t), & \text{for } t \in J, \\ x(a) \geq 0, \quad y(a) \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

Then $x(t) \geq 0$, $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in J$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t) = x(t) + y(t)$, $t \in J$. Then, by (4.9) we have the following:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} \varphi(t) \geq -(M - N)\varphi(t), & t \in J, \\ \varphi(a) = x(a) + y(a) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Thus, by (4.10) and Lemma 4.1.3, we know that

$$\varphi(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in J, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad x(t) + y(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in J. \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we show that $x(t) \geq 0$, $y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in J$.

In fact, by (4.9) and (4.11), we have that

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) + (M + N)x(t) \geq 0, & x(a) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in J, \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} y(t) + (M + N)y(t) \geq 0, & y(a) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in J. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

It follows from inequalities (4.12) and Lemma 4.1.3 that:

$$x(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in J.$$

□

4.2 Main Result

In this section, we apply the monotone iterative procedure and the method of upper and lower solutions to prove the existence of extremal solutions to the problem (4.1). Let us define what we mean by a solution of this problem.

Definition 4.2.1 A solution of problem (4.1) will be a pair of functions $(x, y) \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C(J, \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) = h_1(t, x(t), y(t)), & t \in J, \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} y(t) = h_2(t, y(t), x(t)), & t \in J, \end{cases}$$

with the initial conditions $x(a) = x_a$, and $y(a) = y_a$.

Next, we introduce the concept of coupled lower and upper solutions of this problem as follows.

Definition 4.2.2 *We say that $\gamma, \delta \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a pair of coupled lower and upper solutions of the problem (4.1), respectively, if $\gamma(t) \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$ and the following inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} \gamma(t) \leq h_1(t, \gamma(t), \delta(t)), & \text{for } t \in J, \\ \gamma(a) \leq x_a, \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} \delta(t) \geq h_2(t, \delta(t), \gamma(t)), & \text{for } t \in J, \\ \delta(a) \geq y_a. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

We define the sector:

$$[\gamma, \delta] = \{x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : \gamma(t) \leq x(t) \leq \delta(t), t \in J = [a, b]\}.$$

We assume the following hypothesis:

(F₁) $h_1, h_2 : J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions.

(F₂) There exists $\gamma, \delta \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, a pair of coupled lower and upper solutions of the problem (4.1), respectively.

(F₃) There exist constants $M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} h_1(t, x, y) - h_1(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq -M(x - \bar{x}) - N(y - \bar{y}), \\ h_2(t, y, x) - h_2(t, \bar{y}, \bar{x}) \leq -M(y - \bar{y}) - N(x - \bar{x}), \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma(t) \leq \bar{x} \leq x \leq \delta(t)$, $\gamma(t) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$, and

$$h_2(t, y, x) - h_1(t, x, y) \geq -M(y - x) - N(x - y),$$

where $\gamma(t) \leq x \leq y \leq \delta(t)$ for all $t \in J$.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.3 *Assume that $\{w_n(t)\}$ be a family of continuous functions on J satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} w_n(t) = f(t, w_n(t)), & t \in J, \\ w_n(a) = w_a, \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and where $|f(t, w_n(t))| \leq K$ (with $K > 0$ independent of n) for $t \in J$. Then, the family $\{w_n(t)\}$ is equicontinuous on J .

Proof. According to Lemma 4.1, the integral representation of (4.15) is given by

$$w_n(t) = w_a + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} f(s, w_n(s)) ds. \quad (4.16)$$

For all $t_1, t_2 \in J$ with $a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq b$, from (4.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |w_n(t_2) - w_n(t_1)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_a^{t_2} \psi'(s) (\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} f(s, w_n(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_a^{t_1} \psi'(s) (\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} f(s, w_n(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^{t_1} \psi'(s) [(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} - (\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}] |f(s, w_n(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \psi'(s) (\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} |f(s, w_n(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left[[(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^\alpha - (\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^\alpha]_a^{t_1} - [(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^\alpha]_{t_1}^{t_2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} [(\psi(t_1) - \psi(a))^\alpha + 2(\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^\alpha - (\psi(t_2) - \psi(a))^\alpha] \\ &\leq \frac{2K}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

As $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero independently of $\{w_n(t)\}$. Thus, the family $\{w_n(t)\}$ is equicontinuous on J . \square

Now, we can obtain our main theorem.

Theorem 4.2.4 *Assume that (F_1) , (F_2) and (F_3) hold. Then the system (4.1) has an extremal system of solutions $(x^*, y^*) \in [\gamma, \delta] \times [\gamma, \delta]$, and there exist two monotone iterative sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging uniformly to x^* , y^* , respectively, where $x_n, y_n \in [\gamma, \delta]$, are defined by*

$$x_{n+1}(t) = \frac{p_{n+1}(t) + q_{n+1}(t)}{2}, \quad y_{n+1}(t) = \frac{p_{n+1}(t) - q_{n+1}(t)}{2}, \text{ for all } t \in J = [a, b] \quad (4.17)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1}(t) &= (x_a + y_a) E_{\alpha,1} (-(M + N)(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha) \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} (-(M + N)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha) \\ &\quad \times (h_1(s, x_n(s), y_n) + h_2(s, y_n(s), x_n) + (M + N)(x_n(s) + y_n(s))) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{n+1}(t) &= (x_a - y_a) E_{\alpha,1} (-(M - N)(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha) \\
&\quad + \int_a^t \psi'(s) (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} (-(M - N)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha) \\
&\quad \times (h_1(s, x_n(s), y_n) + h_2(s, y_n(s), x_n) + (M - N)(x_n(s) - y_n(s))) ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\gamma(t) = x_0(t) \leq x_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq x_n(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_n(t) \leq \cdots \leq y_1(t) \leq y_0(t) = \delta(t), \quad t \in J. \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. Firstly, for any $x_0 = \gamma(t), y_0 = \delta(t) \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, we consider the linear system:

$$\begin{cases}
{}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x_{n+1}(t) = h_1(t, x_n(t), y_n(t)) - M(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)) - N(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)), & t \in J, \\
{}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y_{n+1}(t) = h_2(t, y_n(t), x_n(t)) - M(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)) - N(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)), & t \in J, \\
x_{n+1}(a) = x_a, \quad y_{n+1}(a) = y_a.
\end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

By Lemma 4.1, the linear system (4.21) has a unique system of solutions in $C(J, \mathbb{R}) \times C(J, \mathbb{R})$, which is defined by 4.17. We complete the proof of the theorem through the following three steps:

Step 1: The sequences $\{x_n(t)\}$ and $\{y_n(t)\}$ satisfy the relation

$$x_n(t) \leq x_{n+1}(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t) \leq y_n(t), \quad t \in J, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.22}$$

Let $\theta(t) = x_1(t) - x_0(t), \vartheta(t) = y_0(t) - y_1(t)$. According to (4.21) and $(F_1) - (F_2)$, we have

$$\begin{cases}
{}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \theta(t) = {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x_1(t) - {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x_0(t) \geq -M(x_1(t) - x_0(t)) - N(y_1(t) - y_0(t)), & t \in J \\
\theta(a) = x_1(a) - x_0(a) \geq x_a - x_a = 0, \\
{}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \vartheta(t) = {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y_0(t) - {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y_1(t) \geq -M(y_0(t) - y_1(t)) + N(x_1(t) - x_0(t)), & t \in J \\
\vartheta(a) = y_0(a) - y_1(a) \geq y_a - y_a = 0,
\end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases}
{}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \theta(t) \geq -M\theta(t) + N\vartheta(t), \quad \theta(a) \geq 0, \text{ for } t \in J \\
{}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \vartheta(t) \geq -M\vartheta(t) + N\theta(t), \quad \vartheta(a) \geq 0, \text{ for } t \in J,
\end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 4.1.4, we have $\theta(t) \geq 0, \vartheta(t) \geq 0$, i.e., $x_1 \geq x_0, y_1 \leq y_0$.

Let $\varphi(t) = y_1(t) - x_1(t)$. According to (4.21) and (F_3) , we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \varphi(t) = {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y_1(t) - {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x_1(t) \\ \quad = h_2(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) - h_1(t, x_0(t), y_0(t)) - M(y_1(t) - y_0(t)) \\ \quad \quad - N(x_1(t) - x_0(t)) + M(x_1(t) - x_0(t)) + N(y_1(t) - y_0(t)) \\ \quad \geq -M(y_1(t) - x_1(t)) + N(y_1(t) - x_1(t)) = -(M - N)(y_1(t) - x_1(t)), \\ \varphi(a) = y_1(a) - x_1(a) = y_a - x_a \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

i.e.,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} \varphi(t)(t) \geq -(M - N)\varphi(t), \quad \text{for } t \in J \\ \varphi(a) \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

By Lemma 4.1.3, we have $\varphi(t) \geq 0$, i.e., $y_1(t) \geq x_1(t)$ for all $t \in J = [a, b]$.

Next, we show that $x_1(t)$ and $y_1(t)$ satisfy inequalities (4.13) and (4.14), respectively. Since $x_0(t)$ and $y_0(t)$ are respective solutions of (4.13) and (4.14), it follows that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} x_1(t) = h_1(t, x_0(t), y_0(t)) - M(x_1(t) - x_0(t)) - N(y_1(t) - y_0(t)) \\ \quad \leq h_1(t, x_1(t), y_1(t)) \\ x_1(a) \leq x_a, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y_1(t) = h_2(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) - M(y_1(t) - y_0(t)) - N(x_1(t) - x_0(t)) \\ \quad \geq h_2(t, y_1(t), x_1(t)) \\ y_1(a) \leq y_a, \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore, $x_1(t)$ and $y_1(t)$ satisfy the inequalities (4.13) and (4.14), respectively. By the above arguments and mathematical induction, the relation (4.22) holds, i.e.,

$$x_n(t) \leq x_{n+1}(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t) \leq y_n(t), \quad t \in J, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Step 2: The sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ converge uniformly to their limit functions x^* and y^* , respectively. By (4.20), the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are uniformly bounded on J . From Lemma 4.2.3, the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are equicontinuous on J . Hence by the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem, there exist subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $\{y_{n_k}\}$ that converge

uniformly to x^* and y^* , respectively, on J . This, together with the monotonicity of the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$, implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*,$$

uniformly on $t \in J$, and the limit functions x^* and y^* satisfy the problem (4.1).

Step 3: System (4.1) has an extremal solution.

Assume that $(x(t), y(t)) \in [x_0(t), y_0(t)] \times [x_0(t), y_0(t)]$ be any solutions of system (4.1). That is,

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) = h_1(t, x(t), y(t)), & x(a) = x_a, \quad t \in J, \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} y(t) = h_2(t, y(t), x(t)), & y(a) = y_a, \quad t \in J. \end{cases}$$

We need to prove that $x^* \leq x$ and $y \leq y^*$, we do so by using induction. Clearly, $\gamma(t) = x_0(t) \leq x(t)$ and $y(t) \leq y_0(t) = \delta(t)$, $t \in J$. Assume that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_n(t) \leq x(t), \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) \leq y_n(t), \quad t \in J. \quad (4.23)$$

Let $p(t) = x(t) - x_{n+1}(t)$, $q(t) = y_{n+1}(t) - y(t)$. According to (4.21) and (F_3) , we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} p(t) = {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x(t) - {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha; \psi} x_{n+1}(t) \\ \quad = h_1(t, x(t), y(t)) - h_1(t, x_n(t), y_n(t)) + M(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)) \\ \quad \quad + N(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)), \\ \geq -M(x(t) - x_n(t)) - N(y(t) - y_n(t)) + M(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)) \\ \quad \quad + N(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)) \\ \quad = -M(x(t) - x_{n+1}(t)) - N(y(t) - y_{n+1}(t)) = -Mp(t) + Nq(t), \\ p(a) = x(a) - x_{n+1}(a) = x_a - x_a = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} q(t) = {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y_{n+1}(t) - {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} y(t) \\ \quad = h_2(t, y_n(t), x_n(t)) - h_2(t, y(t), x(t)) - M(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)) \\ \quad \quad - N(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)), \\ \geq M(y(t) - y_n(t)) + N(x(t) - x_n(t)) - M(y_{n+1}(t) - y_n(t)) \\ \quad \quad - N(x_{n+1}(t) - x_n(t)) \\ \quad = -M(y_{n+1}(t) - y(t)) + N(x(t) - x_{n+1}(t)) = -Mq(t) + Np(t), \\ q(a) = y_{n+1}(a) - y(a) = y_a - y_a = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

we can get

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} p(t) \geq -Mp(t) + Nq(t), \text{ for } t \in J \quad p(a) \geq 0, \\ {}^C D_{a+}^{\alpha;\psi} q(t) \geq -Mq(t) + Np(t), \text{ for } t \in J \quad q(a) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 4.1.4, we have $p(t) \geq 0$, $q(t) \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$x_{n+1}(t) \leq x(t), \quad y(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t), \quad t \in J = [a, b].$$

By the induction arguments, the relation (4.23) holds, i.e.,

$$x_n(t) \leq x(t), \quad y(t) \leq y_n(t), \quad \text{on } J \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (4.23), we get that

$$x^* \leq x, \quad y \leq y^*.$$

Hence, $(x^*, y^*) \in [\gamma, \delta] \times [\gamma, \delta]$ is the extremal system of solutions to (4.1).

So the proof is finished. \square

4.3 Examples

We present two examples, where we apply Theorem 4.2.4.

Example 4.3.1 Consider the system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^{1/2;\psi} x(t) = (t - x(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2}ty(t), & x(0) = 0, \quad t \in J = [0, 1], \\ {}^C D_{0+}^{1/2;\psi} y(t) = (t - y(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2}tx(t), & y(0) = 0, \quad t \in J = [0, 1], \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

This problem is a particular case of (4.1), with $\alpha = 1/2$, $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $x_a = y_a = 0$, $h_1(t, x, y) = (t - x)^2 - \frac{1}{2}ty$, $h_2(t, y, x) = (t - y)^2 - \frac{1}{2}tx$ and $\psi(t) = t$.

We have ${}^C D_{0^+}^{1/2; \psi} = {}^C D_{0^+}^{1/2}$ Caputo fractional derivative.

It is clear that h_1, h_2 are continuous functions. Take $\gamma(t) = x_0(t) = 0$ and $\delta(t) = y_0(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$${}^C D_{0^+}^{1/2} x_0(t) = 0 \leq h_1(t, x_0(t), y_0(t)) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], \quad x_0(0) = 0 \leq 0,$$

and

$${}^C D_{0^+}^{1/2} y_0(t) = 2 \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \geq h_2(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) = 0 \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], \quad y_0(0) = 0 \geq 0.$$

So, x_0 and y_0 , are lower and upper solutions of problem (4.24), respectively with $x_0(t) = 0 \leq y_0(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then assumptions (F_1) and (F_2) holds.

Let $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(t, x, y) - h_1(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) &= (t - x)^2 - (t - \bar{x})^2 - \frac{1}{2}t(y - \bar{y}) \\ &\geq (x - \bar{x})(-2t + x + \bar{x}) - 0.(y - \bar{y}) \\ &\geq -2(x - \bar{x}) - 0.(y - \bar{y}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(t, y, x) - h_2(t, \bar{y}, \bar{x}) &= (t - y)^2 - (t - \bar{y})^2 - \frac{1}{2}t(x - \bar{x}) \\ &\leq (y - \bar{y})(-2t + y + \bar{y}) - 0.(x - \bar{x}) \\ &\leq -2(y - \bar{y}) - 0.(x - \bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

with $0 = x_0(t) \leq \bar{x} \leq x \leq y_0(t) = t \leq 1$, $0 = x_0(t) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq y_0(t) = t \leq 1$ for all $t \in J$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(t, y, x) - h_1(t, x, y) &= (t - y)^2 - (t - x)^2 - \frac{1}{2}t(x - y) \\ &\geq (y - x)(-2t + y + x) - 0.(x - y) \\ &\geq -2(y - x) - 0.(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

with $0 = x_0(t) \leq x \leq y \leq y_0(t) = t \leq 1$, for all $t \in J$.

Hence the assumption (F_3) holds with $M = 2$ and $N = 0$. By Theorem 4.2.4, the nonlinear system (4.24) has the extremal solution $(x^*, y^*) \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) \times C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, such that $(x^*, y^*) \in [0, t] \times [0, t]$ on $[0, 1]$, which can be obtained by taking limits from the iterative sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1}(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} E_{1/2, 1/2}(-2\sqrt{t-s}) \left((s - x_n(s))^2 - sy_n(s) + 2x_n(s) \right) ds, \quad t \in J, \quad n \geq 0 \\ y_{n+1}(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} E_{1/2, 1/2}(-2\sqrt{t-s}) \left((s - y_n(s))^2 - sx_n(s) + 2y_n(s) \right) ds, \quad t \in J, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.3.2 Consider the system of nonlinear fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{1+}^{1/2;\psi} x(t) = 2(\ln^2(t) - x^2(t)) - \ln(t)y(t), & x(1) = 0, t \in J = [1, e], \\ {}^C D_{1+}^{1/2;\psi} y(t) = 2(\ln^2(t) - y^2(t)) - \ln(t)x(t), & y(1) = 0, t \in J = [1, e], \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

This problem is a particular case of (4.1), with $\alpha = 1/2$, $a = 1$, $b = e$, $x_a = y_a = 0$, $h_1(t, x, y) = 2(\ln^2(t) - x^2) - \ln(t)y$, $h_2(t, y, x) = 2(\ln^2(t) - y^2) - \ln(t)x$ and $\psi(t) = \ln(t)$. It is clear that h_1, h_2 are continuous functions.

Take $x_0(t) = 0$ and $y_0(t) = \ln(t)$ for $t \in [1, e]$, then

$${}^C D_{1+}^{1/2;\psi} x_0(t) = 0 \leq h_1(t, x_0(t), y_0(t)) = \ln(t) \text{ for } t \in [1, e], \quad x_0(1) = 0 \leq 0,$$

and

$${}^C D_{0+}^{1/2} y_0(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\ln(t)} \geq h_2(t, y_0(t), x_0(t)) = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1, e], \quad y_0(1) = 0 \geq 0.$$

So, x_0 and y_0 , are lower and upper solutions of problem (4.25), respectively with $x_0(t) = 0 \leq y_0(t) = \ln(t)$ for $t \in [1, e]$, then assumptions (F_1) and (F_2) holds.

Let $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(t, x, y) - h_1(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) &= -2(x - \bar{x})(x + \bar{x}) - \ln(t)(y - \bar{y}) \\ &\geq -4(x - \bar{x}) - 0 \cdot (y - \bar{y}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(t, y, x) - h_2(t, \bar{y}, \bar{x}) &= -2(y - \bar{y})(y + \bar{y}) - \ln(t)(x - \bar{x}) \\ &\leq -4(y - \bar{y}) - 0 \cdot (x - \bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

with $0 = x_0(t) \leq \bar{x} \leq x \leq y_0(t) = \ln(t) \leq 1$, $0 = x_0(t) \leq y \leq \bar{y} \leq y_0(t) = \ln(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \in J$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(t, y, x) - h_1(t, x, y) &= -2(y - x)(y + x) - \ln(t)(x - y) \\ &\geq -4(y - x) - 0 \cdot (x - y). \end{aligned}$$

with $0 = x_0(t) \leq x \leq y \leq y_0(t) = \ln(t) \leq 1$, for all $t \in J$.

Hence the assumption (F_3) holds with $M = 4$ and $N = 0$. By Theorem 4.2.4, the nonlinear system (4.25) has the extremal solution $(x^*, y^*) \in C([1, e], \mathbb{R}) \times C([1, e], \mathbb{R})$, such that $(x^*, y^*) \in [0, \ln(t)] \times [0, \ln(t)]$ on $[1, e]$, which can be obtained by taking limits from the iterative sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1}(t) &= \int_1^t (\ln(t) - \ln(s))^{-1/2} E_{1/2, 1/2}(-4\sqrt{\ln(t) - \ln(s)}) (2(\ln^2(s) - x_n^2(s)) \\ &\quad - \ln(s)y_n(s) + 4x_n(s)) \frac{ds}{s}, \quad n \geq 0 \\ y_{n+1}(t) &= \int_1^t (\ln(t) - \ln(s))^{-1/2} E_{1/2, 1/2}(-4\sqrt{\ln(t) - \ln(s)}) (2(\ln^2(s) - y_n^2(s)) \\ &\quad - \ln(s)x_n(s) + 4y_n(s)) \frac{ds}{s}, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

Conclusion In this work, we have considered the existence of extremal solutions for nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equation involving integral boundary condition, and for a coupled system of nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with initial conditions. Also, we present the existence of extremal solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear ψ -Caputo fractional differential equations with initial conditions.

These results will be obtained by using the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions.

Bibliography

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, *On conformable fractional calculus*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **279** (2015), 57–66.
- [2] M.S. Abdo, S.K. Panchal and A.M. Saeed, *Fractional boundary value problem with ψ -Caputo fractional derivative*, Proc. Math. Sci. 2019, 129, 14.
- [3] S. Ali, K. Shah, H. Khan, M. Arif, S. Mahmood, *Monotone iterative techniques and Ulam-Hyers stability analysis for nonlinear fractional order differential equations with integral boundary value conditions*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math , **12**(2)(2019), 432-447.
- [4] R. Almeida, *A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 44 (2017) 460-481.
- [5] R. Almeida, *Fractional Differential Equations with Mixed Boundary Conditions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 2019, 42, 1687-1697.
- [6] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska and M.T.T. Monteiro, *Fractional differential equations with a Caputo derivative with respect to a kernel function and their applications*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 41 (2018) 336-352.
- [7] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska and T. Odziejewicz, *Optimal Leader-Follower Control for the Fractional Opinion Formation Model*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 2019, 182, 1171-1185.
- [8] R. Almeida, M. Jleli and B. Samet, *A numerical study of fractional relaxation-oscillation equations involving ψ -Caputo fractional derivative*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 2019, 113, 1873-1891.
- [9] Z. Bai, S. Zhang, S. Sun and C. Yin, *Monotone iterative method for fractional differential equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2016 (2016), no. 6, 8 pp.
- [10] D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas and J.J. Trujillo, *Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods*, World Scientific Publishing, New York, 2012.

-
- [11] M. Benchohra, A. Cabada and D. Seba, *An existence result for nonlinear fractional differential equations on Banach spaces*. Bound. Value Probl. (2009), Art. ID 628916, 11 pp.
- [12] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S.K. Ntouyas and A. Ouahab, *Existence results for functional differential equations of fractional order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), 1340-1350.
- [13] M. Benchohra, and F. Ouaar, *Existence results for nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., **2**(2010), 7-15.
- [14] B. Bendouma, *Monotone Iterative Technique for a Coupled System of Nonlinear Conformable Fractional Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Jordan J. Math. Stat. JJMS 2023, **16**, 4155.
- [15] T.A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point theory for functional differential equations*, Department of mathematics southern illinois university Carbondale, illinois, Dover Publications, I N C Mineola New York, (2006).
- [16] A. Cabada and Z. Hamdi, *Nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary value conditions*. Applied Mathematics and Computation. **228** (2014), 251-257.
- [17] A. Cabada, *The monotone method for first-order problems with linear and nonlinear boundary conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **63** (1994), 163-188.
- [18] H. Chen, S. Meng, Y. Cui, *Monotone iterative technique for conformable fractional differential equations with deviating arguments*, *Hindawi Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, **2020**, Article ID 5827127, 9 pages, 2020.
- [19] M. Concezzi and R. Spigler, *Some analytical and numerical properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **18** (2015), no. 1, 64-94.
- [20] C. Derbazi, Z. Baitiche, M. Benchohra and A. Cabada, *Initial value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations with ψ -Caputo derivative via monotone iterative technique*, Axioms **9** (2020) 57. DOI:10.3390/axioms9020057
- [21] C. Derbazi, Z. Baitiche, M. Benchohra, and J.R. Graef, *Extremal solutions to a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with Caputo fractional derivatives*, J. Math. Appl., **44** (2021), 1934.
- [22] M. Derhab, T. Khedim, and B. Messirdi, *Existence results of first-order differential equations with integral boundary conditions at resonance*, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal., **24**(2)(2017), 93-106.

- [23] D. Dhaigude and B. Rizqan, *Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions of Fractional Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments under Integral Boundary Conditions*, Kyungpook Math. J. 59(2019), 191–202.
- [24] K. Diethelm; *The analysis of fractional differential equation*, Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, 2010.
- [25] G.B. Folland, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1999.
- [26] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York 2003.
- [27] O.S. Iyiola and E.R. Nwaeze, *Some new results on the new conformable fractional calculus with application using D’Alambert approach*, Progr. Fract. Dier. Appl. **2**(2016), (2), 115-122.
- [28] R. Khalil, M. Al Horani, A. Yousef, M. Sababheh, A new definition of fractional derivative, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **264** (2014), 65–70.
- [29] A.A. Kilbas, M.H. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Application of Fractional Differential Equations*, North Holland Mathematics Studies 204, Elsevier Science B. V. Amsterdam, 2006.
- [30] S. Liu, H. Wang, X. Li, H. Li, *Extremal iteration solution to a coupled system of nonlinear conformable fractional differential equations*, Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications, **10**(9) (2017), 50825089.
- [31] S. Liu, H. Li, *Extremal system of solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations by monotone iterative method*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9 (2016) 3310-3318.
- [32] R.L. Magin, *Fractional calculus in Bioengineering*, CR in Biomedical Engineering **32** (2004), no. 1, 1-104.
- [33] S. Meng, Y. Cui, *Extremal solution to conformable fractional differential equations involving integral boundary condition*, *Mathematics*, **7**, Article ID 186, 2019.
- [34] K.S. Miller and B. Ross, *Fractional difference calculus*, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications, Nihon University, Koriyama, Japan, (1989), 139-152.
- [35] D. O’Regan, *Existence theory for nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [36] R. Precup, *Methods in Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 2002.

-
- [37] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press: San Diego CA, (1999).
- [38] H. Pollard, *The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\alpha(-x)$* , Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 52, 908-910, 1948.
- [39] B. Samet and H. Aydi, *Lyapunov-type inequalities for an anti-periodic fractional boundary value problem involving ψ -Caputo fractional derivative*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2018, 2018, 286.
- [40] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
- [41] W. R. Schneider, *Completely monotone generalized Mittag-Leffler functions*, Expo. Math. 14, 3-16, 1996.
- [42] G. Wanga, R.P. Agarwal and A. Cabadac, *Existence results and the monotone iterative technique for systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012) 10191024
- [43] X. Wanga, L. Wanga and Q. Zeng, *Fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 8 (2015), 309–314.
- [44] G. Wang, *Monotone iterative technique for boundary value problems of a nonlinear fractional differential equation with deviating arguments*, J. Comp. Appl. Math, 236 (2012) 2425-2430.
- [45] T. Wang, F. Xie, *Existence and Uniqueness of Fractional Differential Equations with Integral Boundary Conditions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 2 (2009), 206-212.
- [46] S. Zhang, *Monotone iterative method for initial value problem involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives*, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009) 2087-2093.